MIED (Rev. 06/11) Bill of Costs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT						
	for the						
Eastern D	istrict of Michigan						
Jorge Alejandro Rojas) Case No. 2:23-cv-12140-LVP-EAS						
v.) Hon. Linda V. Parker						
DV Injury Law et al.) Magistrate Judge Elizabeth A. Stafford	Magistrate Judge Elizabeth A. Stafford					
BILL	OF COSTS						
Judgment having been entered in the above entitled action on	08/02/2024 against All Defendants Date	,					
the Clerk is requested to tax the following as costs:	Dute						
CATEGORY	ATTORNEY COMMENTS	AMOUNT					
A. Fees of the Clerk	Per Attached	\$402.00					
B. Fees for service of summons and subpoena	Per Attached	\$480.00					
C. Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessition obtained for use in the case	essarily						
D. Fees and disbursements for printing							
E. Fees for witnesses (itemize on page two)							
F. Fees for exemplification and the costs of making copies o materials where the copies are necessarily obtained for us							
G. Docket fees under 28 U.S.C. 1923							
H. Costs as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals							
I. Compensation of court-appointed experts							
J. Compensation of interpreters and costs of special interpre services under 28 U.S.C. 1828	tation						
K. Other costs (please itemize)							
	TOTAL	\$882.00					
SPECIAL NOTE: Attach to your bill an itemization	on and documentation for requested costs in all categoric	es.					
De	eclaration						
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing conservices for which fees have been charged were actually and not in the following manner:	osts are correct and were necessarily incurred in this action eccessarily performed. A copy of this bill has been served of						
✓ Electronic service First c Other:	lass mail, postage prepaid						
s/ Attorney: Andrew Roman Perrong							
Name of Attorney: Andrew Roman Perrong							
For: Plaintiff, Jorge Alejandro Rojas	Date: 08/03/2024						
Name of Claiming Party							

AO 133 (Rev. 06/11) Bill of Costs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Witness Fees (computation, cf. 28 U.S.C. 1821 for statutory fees)									
	ATTENDANCE		SUBSISTENCE		MILEAGE				
NAME , CITY AND STATE OF RESIDENCE	Days	Total Cost	Days	Total Cost	Miles	Total Cost	Total Cost Each Witness		
		•		•	то	ΓAL			

NOTICE

Section 1924, Title 28, U.S. Code (effective September 1, 1948) provides:

"Sec. 1924. Verification of bill of costs."

"Before any bill of costs is taxed, the party claiming any item of cost or disbursement shall attach thereto an affidavit, made by himself or by his duly authorized attorney or agent having knowledge of the facts, that such item is correct and has been necessarily incurred in the case and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed."

See also Section 1920 of Title 28, which reads in part as follows:

"A bill of costs shall be filed in the case and, upon allowance, included in the judgment or decree."

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contain the following provisions: RULE 54(d)(1)

Costs Other than Attorneys' Fees.

Unless a federal statute, these rules, or a court order provides otherwise, costs — other than attorney's fees — should be allowed to the prevailing party. But costs against the United States, its officers, and its agencies may be imposed only to the extent allowed by law. The clerk may tax costs on 1 day's notice. On motion served within the next 5 days, the court may review the clerk's action

RULE 6

(d) Additional Time After Certain Kinds of Service.

When a party may or must act within a specified time after service and service is made under Rule5(b)(2)(C), (D), (E), or (F), 3 days are added after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a).

RULE 58(e)

Cost or Fee Awards:

Ordinarily, the entry of judgment may not be delayed, nor the time for appeal extended, in order to tax costs or award fees. But if a timely motion for attorney's fees is made under Rule 54(d)(2), the court may act before a notice of appeal has been filed and become effective to order that the motion have the same effect under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4) as a timely motion under Rule 59.